

Rail for All: Equitable, Sustainable Travel

Building an Efficient Passenger Rail Network in Alberta

Arpan Goyal

Integrated Travel

Abstract

This paper looks at how Alberta can revive and enhance its passenger rail service in line with the principles championed by Integrated Travel, a non-profit organization focused on creating equitable, reliable, and environmentally responsible rail networks. Drawing on examples from the Netherlands, Germany, Japan, and North America, this study delves into topics ranging from parcel integration and electrification in cold climates to predictive maintenance, accessibility, sustainable procurement, dynamic pricing, and renewable energy. Our findings reveal that upgrading existing tracks, retrofitting trains, and emphasizing equitable design can bring about social, economic, and ecological advantages. Ultimately, this vision aims to deliver a rail system that serves the entire community—particularly those historically underserved.

Introduction

Context: Integrated Travel's Mission

Integrated Travel is a not-for-profit group determined to restore safe, reliable, and inclusive passenger rail across Alberta. Its main focus is on transportation equity, prioritizing regional needs and bringing together businesses, local governments, and other stakeholders. Rather than operating as a policy think tank, Integrated Travel comprises professionals dedicated to prosperity through equality, with special attention to Indigenous and rural communities.

Since 2019, the organization has built support through train treks, presentations, advocacy efforts, and coalition-building under the slogan “Rail for All.” This concept advocates for practical upgrades—like refurbishing existing rail lines—instead of high-cost, headline-driven high-speed projects that might not address local realities. This paper compiles global best practices and contextualizes them for Alberta’s unique challenges.

Research Objectives

1. Highlight Equitable Strategies – Pinpoint how “Rail for All” can be inclusive and community-focused, especially benefiting underserved areas.
2. Optimize Existing Infrastructure – Explore cost-effective approaches to modernizing and electrifying Alberta’s current rail lines rather than building new networks from scratch.
3. Promote Sustainability – Demonstrate that net-zero or low-carbon rail solutions bring both environmental and financial gains.
4. Enhance Reliability and Safety – Examine how predictive analytics, dynamic pricing, and consistent maintenance programs can keep trains running smoothly.

5. Support Regional Prosperity – Show how proposed rail projects can sustain local economies, bolster environmental goals, and encourage equitable service delivery.

Literature Review

Passenger and Parcel Integration

Global Case Studies: Integrating freight and parcel services with passenger rail lines can unlock new revenue streams and improve network efficiency. The Netherlands provides a notable example, where careful timetable coordination enabled passenger trains to carry time-sensitive cargo during off-peak slots, yielding an estimated 15% boost in revenue without adding new infrastructure^[1]. Japan is pursuing a similar approach on high-speed rail: JR East has begun loading fresh produce and parcels into unoccupied Shinkansen carriages to leverage their speed and punctuality for same-day delivery services. These international cases show that passenger rail can handle light freight (mail, parcels, e-commerce goods) by utilizing existing capacity, especially during non-peak hours, thereby diversifying income for rail operators and improving asset utilization^[1]. Importantly, adding freight service has been achieved without degrading passenger experience when managed through precise scheduling and operational adjustments.

Implementation Challenges & Solutions: Joint passenger-freight operations do require careful planning. Passenger trains may need minor modifications (e.g. secure storage areas for parcels) and stations might require dedicated loading bays or staff training for cargo handling. Safety and scheduling are paramount: railroads must ensure that added freight duties do not cause delays. European railways address this by conducting risk assessments and coordination protocols for joint use of tracks. For instance, dedicated time windows (often late night or midday off-peak) are reserved for freight loading on passenger routes^[17], and communication systems prioritize passenger train right-of-way during peak travel times. In the U.S. and Canada, passenger services already share tracks with freight in many corridors; formal partnerships (such as those documented in Boston and Vancouver) show

that clear agreements on dispatching priority and maintenance cost-sharing can make dual-use viable^[2]. Therefore, the key challenges of schedule conflict and safety can be mitigated through technology (real-time train monitoring) and policy (operating agreements and joint training).

Application to Alberta: These lessons are directly relevant for Alberta’s context, where population density is lower and dedicated high-speed lines may not be economically justified. A “Rail for All” network in Alberta could run parcel services on regional passenger trains to serve remote communities and local businesses. This would increase the utility of each train trip – for example, a morning commuter train from Red Deer to Calgary could also carry overnight e-commerce parcels bound for Calgary, and an evening train could bring local farm produce into city markets. By doing so, Alberta’s system could diversify its revenue base and justify more frequent services for rural towns. Only modest adjustments would be needed, such as station facilities for handling parcels and coordination with postal/courier companies. Crucially, adding freight would help avoid infrastructure duplication, meaning Alberta can defer or eliminate the need for separate freight-only trains on these routes. Overall, pairing passenger and parcel transport aligns with Integrated Travel’s equitable approach by extending more services to all regions without major capital investment.

Electrification in Cold Climates

Cold-Weather Rail Technology: Freezing temperatures and snow present challenges to rail electrification, but global experience shows these challenges are surmountable with targeted technical measures. Norway's Bergen Line is a case in point: this mountain railway endures heavy snow and temperatures of -20°C yet has been electrified since the 1960s. During planning, engineers feared ice buildup on overhead wires would interrupt power delivery^[3]. Tests at Finse (the line's highest point) demonstrated that an energized catenary could be kept ice-free even in harsh winter conditions. The successful deployment included installing overhead wire heaters and using de-icing trains, proving that electrified rail can operate reliably through Norwegian winters. Similarly, Russia, Finland, and other cold-climate countries run extensive electrified rail networks. Key adaptations include heated track switches to prevent snow blockage, insulated catenary support arms, and using materials designed to remain flexible in subzero temperatures. Railway companies also schedule regular snow clearing and use special pantograph designs that can break light ice on wires. Research by the Canadian Rail Research Laboratory corroborates these solutions, noting that consistent de-icing and winterization protocols can nearly eliminate weather-related outages on electrified lines^[4].

Policy and Infrastructure Measures: Many rail operators have developed standards for winter-proofing electrification. For example, SNCF (France) requires automatic heating systems on critical switches and has trialed overhead wire heating to melt ice, cutting delays in frosty conditions^[15]. In Japan's cold Tohoku region, electric trains use snowplow-equipped locomotives and the catenary is designed with extra tension to avoid sagging when ice accumulates. These technical measures are often supported by policy: government funding is allocated to winter resilience as part of electrification projects, recognizing that reliability is crucial for public and political support of rail upgrades.

Application to Alberta: Alberta’s rail system can adopt these proven strategies to ensure year-round reliability if electrification is pursued. The province’s climate, with extreme cold snaps and heavy snow in some areas, is comparable to Norway or parts of Russia. Upfront investments in winterisation—such as switch heaters in railyards from Edmonton to Calgary, and reinforced overhead line equipment—would be essential. Fortunately, these technologies are mature and costs are well-understood from European implementations. Alberta could collaborate with institutions like the Canadian Rail Research Laboratory and manufacturers experienced in cold-weather rail to procure suitable equipment. By upgrading existing tracks with electrification and appropriate winter-proofing, Alberta can move to electric trains that emit no local pollution, without being deterred by winter weather. In fact, electrification coupled with renewables (discussed later) would allow Alberta to dramatically cut rail emissions even in a cold climate. The successful examples elsewhere rebut the notion that “Canada is too cold for electrified rail” – instead, they show that with the right design Alberta’s trains can run on electric power reliably through every season.

Predictive Analytics for Safety and Maintenance

Advances in data analytics are transforming railway maintenance from a reactive practice into a predictive, condition-based regime. The idea is to continuously monitor trains and infrastructure with sensors, analyze the data using AI or statistical models, and fix issues before they cause failures. The London Underground has been a pioneer in this domain: by fitting track circuits, escalators, and train components with sensors. Moreover, in just one week of testing on the Victoria Line, TfL's new system recovered enough regenerative braking energy to power a station like Holborn for two days, highlighting side benefits of smarter systems^[15]. The UK's Rail Safety and Standards Board (RSSB) notes that such remote condition monitoring and predictive maintenance could save millions by extending asset life and preventing major service disruptions^[5]. Other major railways are following suit. Indian Railways, for example, launched a nationwide "Remote Monitoring and Predictive Analytics" program to continuously watch locomotive health^[6]. This system, implemented across thousands of diesel and electric engines, has reportedly improved fleet reliability by detecting problems (like overheating bearings or voltage drops) hours or days before a failure would occur. Early fixes have significantly reduced train delays on monitored routes. Likewise, Deutsche Bahn in Germany has equipped switches and signals with IoT sensors and uses AI to predict failures, aiming to reduce infrastructure-caused delays by 30%^[16]. The trend is clear: rail operators are investing in data-driven safety to shift from routine schedule-based inspections to need-based interventions, improving both safety and cost-efficiency.

For Alberta's planned rail revival, embracing predictive maintenance could yield substantial benefits. A modern "Rail for All" system could integrate smart sensors into both rolling stock and track infrastructure from the outset. For instance, vibration sensors on bridges could warn of structural issues due to weather or wear, and algorithms would alert engineers to schedule

repairs before any risk to trains. Similarly, each train car could carry a sensor suite monitoring brake condition, wheel health, and even ride quality (as a proxy for track alignment issues). These measures would enhance reliability and safety for Alberta's passengers by preventing breakdowns on remote stretches and avoiding service interruptions. They also align with cost-effective practice: catching a small flaw early (e.g. a rail crack or an engine part near failure) is far cheaper than a major derailment or an in-service breakdown. In summary, predictive analytics offers a proven way to keep trains running smoothly and on time, which will be critical for building public trust in Alberta's renewed passenger rail network.

Accessibility Innovations

Modern passenger rail systems around the world have made great strides in inclusive design, ensuring that trains and stations are usable by all individuals, including people with disabilities, seniors, and those with strollers or luggage. In Tokyo, for example, every metro and railway station is being retrofitted to be barrier-free in preparation for an aging society and the recent Paralympics push. Tactile floor markers (textured rubber tiles) line all major stations, guiding visually impaired travelers from entrances and along platforms. Train cars and platforms are also equipped with audio-visual announcements in multiple languages and visual displays, assisting those with hearing loss or who speak different languages.

Singapore's MRT offers another excellent case: the entire network has step-free access via elevators or ramps at every station, and trains feature reserved spaces for wheelchairs and priority seating marked for elderly or pregnant riders^[8]. The SMRT system also developed a robust smartphone app that provides real-time information on elevator outages, crowd levels, and even an augmented reality wayfinding feature for inside stations. This combination of physical design and digital support greatly eases anxiety for passengers with mobility or cognitive challenges.

Accessibility innovations are not just about disability rights; they measurably improve overall passenger experience. Surveys show that clear signage and unambiguous navigation help all users. Large, high-contrast signs and pictograms (now standard in European and Asian metros) benefit tourists and occasional riders who might not know the language. Smoother level boarding (where train and platform heights align) speeds up boarding for everyone, reducing dwell times and minimizing the risk of accidents. For instance, when London introduced level boarding on the Elizabeth Line, boarding times for wheelchair users and parents with strollers dropped dramatically, and overall train dwell times reduced, helping

keep the service punctual. In Paris, installing gap fillers and platform edge sensors on RER commuter lines significantly cut the rate of passenger accidents during boarding.

Applying these innovations in Alberta would be central to Integrated Travel's vision of "Rail for All." This means designing every station and train with universal access in mind.

Practically, key steps would include: ensuring each station has ramps or elevators in addition to stairs, providing tactile guiding strips along platforms and at crosswalks, and equipping trains with automatic audio announcements and visual displays for each stop. Alberta can draw on existing standards like the UK's Design Standards for Accessible Railway Stations ^[21], which cover everything from platform gap dimensions to the availability of accessible restrooms. By following such guidelines, Alberta's network can avoid barriers that have historically excluded certain groups. It is also crucial to involve local disability advocacy groups in the planning phase – their input can identify needs like seating in stations or the placement of ticket machines at wheelchair-friendly heights. Ultimately, investing in accessibility yields broad social benefits: it increases ridership (as more people are confident they can use the system), and it upholds the principle of equity by providing mobility to those who need it most. These innovations align perfectly with the "Rail for All" ethos, ensuring that the new rail system truly serves everyone in Alberta's communities.

Sustainable Procurement and Low-Carbon Materials

Building and maintaining a rail network involves large quantities of materials – steel rails, concrete ties and stations, electrical components, and more. Adopting green procurement practices can substantially reduce the environmental footprint of these materials. Leading rail systems in Europe have embraced policies to source eco-friendly and recycled materials. The Government of the Netherlands, for example, has a national policy aiming for a *circular economy by 2050*, which explicitly pushes the rail sector to use recycled construction materials and to design for recyclability^[9]. In practice, this means using recycled steel for rails and fasteners, incorporating high-recycled-content concrete or “geo-polymer” concrete (which replaces some of the cement with industrial byproducts like fly ash), and even recycling old rail ballast for use as sub-base in new track construction. Dutch rail projects have reported that using low-carbon concrete mixes (with slag or ash substitutes) can cut CO2 emissions by ~30% during construction and often lower life-cycle costs due to improved durability^[9]. Similarly, the UK’s Network Rail introduced a Sustainable Procurement Policy that requires major suppliers to have ISO 14001 certification^[10]. ISO 14001 is an environmental management standard that ensures companies have robust plans to minimize waste and pollution. By preferring ISO-certified suppliers, Network Rail incentivizes contractors to adopt greener processes (such as efficient manufacturing that uses renewable energy or reduces water usage). The result has been a supply chain more aligned with environmental goals and often cost savings from efficiency: for instance, sourcing reclaimed steel for station construction in London saved raw material costs and avoided several thousand tonnes of ore extraction.

On the operations side, sustainable procurement also means choosing energy-efficient technology. Railway operators now consider environmental criteria when purchasing new

rolling stock or equipment. For example, French SNCF's latest TGV M trainsets use 20% fewer materials by weight and include 97% recyclable components by design, thanks to procurement requirements emphasizing material efficiency (this was part of the TGV Océane program to improve sustainability)^[23]. Many transit agencies purchase products like composite station benches made from recycled plastics or sustainably harvested wood, and use paints and adhesives that are low in volatile organic compounds (VOCs) to improve air quality in stations. These choices, though seemingly small, all contribute to a rail system's overall sustainability profile.

For Alberta, embedding sustainable procurement from the start could make its rail initiative a model of green infrastructure. Concretely, Alberta can mandate that any new construction or renovation use low-carbon concrete and recycled steel. The province could leverage its own recycling industries – for example, using recycled aggregates from local demolition waste in building station foundations, or repurposing decommissioned oil and gas pipes as pipe culverts and poles along rail routes. Alberta's government procurement rules might be updated to include "green criteria" for bids, rewarding contractors who demonstrate reduced greenhouse gas emissions or waste in producing the rail equipment. There is also an opportunity to tie into Canada's wider sustainability standards. By requiring ISO 14001 (or similar) certification for major suppliers, Alberta's project would ensure that from the steel mills to the train manufacturers, everyone follows stringent environmental practices^[10].

Besides environmental benefits, these practices tend to have a positive long-term economic impact: equipment manufactured to higher environmental standards often has better quality and longevity (for example, components that undergo stringent process controls tend to fail less often). Moreover, future-proofing the rail system with low-carbon materials can shield Alberta from carbon pricing or regulatory costs in the coming decades. In summary,

sustainable procurement is not just a feel-good measure; it is a strategic choice that can lead to cost savings, innovation, and alignment with Canada's climate commitments.

Dynamic Pricing Models

Historically, public transport has used fairly rigid fare structures, but rail operators are increasingly turning to dynamic pricing – adjusting ticket prices in response to demand – much like airlines do. The goal is to increase ridership and revenue by filling trains during off-peak times and managing crowding during peaks. In Europe, Eurostar has implemented an AI-driven revenue management system to optimize its fares and seat inventory in real time^[11]. This system (developed by Wiremind’s CAYZN platform) analyzes booking patterns and can offer more low-cost tickets on trains that are selling slowly, or slightly raise prices on nearly full departures. The result is a smoother demand curve and maximized occupancy; Eurostar’s Chief Commercial Officer noted that such intelligent pricing ensures customers get the best possible fare while the operator maximizes efficiency and load factors^[23]. Early reports suggest that Eurostar’s move to dynamic pricing has improved its yield (revenue per seat) and also customer satisfaction, as more travelers can find affordable tickets during less busy times.

Japan has also begun experiments with dynamic pricing on high-speed rail. In mid-2024, JR Kyushu introduced a flexible fare system for the Kyushu Shinkansen between Hakata and Kumamoto^[12]. Under this scheme, discount advance tickets that were previously fixed at ¥3,800 were set to fluctuate between ¥3,400 and ¥4,200 for an adult, based on demand and how early the ticket is booked^[12]. The motivation was to lure more travelers onto trains that typically ran below capacity and to alleviate congestion on the most crowded services. The trial was deemed a success: JR Kyushu reported more off-peak bookings and slightly lower crowding on peak-hour trains, improving comfort without losing revenue. By offering cheaper fares on less popular times (early morning, late evening) and maintaining higher fares on the most in-demand departures, they achieved a better distribution of ridership. This

mirrors results from aviation and intercity buses, where dynamic pricing has long shown that some passengers will shift their travel to off-peak if the price incentive is strong enough.

For Alberta, adopting a dynamic pricing model could be very beneficial given the need to attract a broad ridership. A new rail service might have diverse users – daily commuters, occasional leisure travelers, students, seniors – each with different price sensitivities.

By implementing dynamic fares: Alberta could offer very low fares during midday or weekends to encourage discretionary trips (helping communities along the line to attract visitors), while charging a bit more during the busiest commuter times to manage crowding and generate revenue. This approach would make the service financially sustainable and inclusive. Lower-income riders, for instance, could take advantage of off-peak discounts for necessary travel, aligning with the equity goals of Integrated Travel. To avoid confusion or perceptions of unfairness, Alberta's system should be transparent about its pricing rules: for example, publishing the range of fares and the factors that influence price (like booking early vs. last-minute). As seen in Japan, even a simple tiered dynamic system (three price levels depending on train occupancy) can be effective^[12]. In implementing this, Alberta might use an online booking platform and perhaps smartphone apps that clearly show travelers the current price and encourage them to choose less busy trains for a discount. Over time, AI-driven revenue management could be employed as ridership data accumulates, continually refining the fares. In conclusion, dynamic pricing would help Alberta balance two critical objectives: affordability and cost recovery. It ensures the trains aren't running empty outside rush hour, and it avoids severe overcrowding by smoothing demand – thereby delivering both a better passenger experience and healthier finances for the rail operator.

Renewable Energy Integration

Integrating renewable energy into rail operations is a powerful way to reduce long-term costs and align with climate goals. Rail systems can incorporate renewables in two major ways: by directly powering infrastructure with on-site generation (like solar panels on stations or along the tracks), and by adopting trains that use alternative fuels (such as hydrogen or batteries charged from renewable sources). Indian Railways has demonstrated the impact of the first approach. In recent years, Indian Railways has installed solar photovoltaic panels on the roofs of over 960 stations across the country^[6]. These solar panels generate electricity for lighting, ventilation, and other station needs, cutting grid consumption. The initiative is part of Indian Railways' plan to be a net-zero carbon emitter by 2030, which involves setting up 20 GW of solar capacity on railway land and facilities^[6]. Even now, the completed station solar installations and a pilot of solar panels on train coaches have significantly reduced the annual energy bill of the rail network^[6]. One pilot project in India connected a 1.7 MW solar plant directly to the railway's overhead traction power, successfully running trains on solar energy during the day^[6]. This innovation foreshadows a future where sunlight could directly drive electric trains.

Meanwhile, hydrogen fuel cell trains have moved from prototype to reality in the past few years, offering a renewable-powered solution for routes that are not electrified. Germany's Lower Saxony transport agency, in partnership with Alstom, introduced the *Coradia iLint* hydrogen train into passenger service in 2018^[14]. These trains carry hydrogen in tanks on the roof and combine it with oxygen from the air in fuel cells, producing electricity to drive the train – the only emission is water vapor. After over a year of trials, a fleet of 14 hydrogen trains now fully operates a regional line in Germany, replacing diesel units. They report performance on par with conventional trains: speeds up to 140 km/h, a range of around 1000 km per fueling, and importantly no pollution or carbon emissions in operation. The

success in Germany has led to orders for hydrogen trains in France, Italy, and the UK. Hydrogen trains are particularly attractive for regions like Alberta if electrification of every route is cost-prohibitive; they can achieve many of the benefits of electrified trains (zero tailpipe emissions, quiet operation) without the need for continuous electrified track infrastructure^[14].

Renewable energy can also be integrated by feeding green electricity into the grid that powers electric trains. The Netherlands famously achieved 100% wind energy supply for its electric railways as of 2017 – meaning every electric train in the country runs on wind-generated electricity^[25]. This was done through energy procurement: the national railway NS signed contracts with wind farms (some newly built for this purpose) to supply the equivalent of all the traction power needed. This kind of virtuous cycle – where increased train ridership is directly supported by clean energy – makes rail travel an even more environmentally superior mode.

Application to Alberta: Integrating renewables should be a cornerstone of Alberta’s rail project, aligning with the province’s renewable energy potential and Integrated Travel’s net-zero aspirations. On the supply side, Alberta has abundant wind and solar resources. The rail authority could install solar panels at stations in Edmonton, Calgary, Red Deer and along park-and-ride lots. Even a few hundred kilowatts at each station could power lighting, ticket machines, and display boards. Over a large network, this adds up – reducing grid draw and operating costs. If the main lines are electrified, Alberta could negotiate with developers of wind farms in southern Alberta to dedicate a portion of their output to the rail system (similar to the Dutch approach). This would allow headlines like “Alberta’s trains run on 100% renewable electricity,” enhancing public buy-in. For routes or feeder services that are not electrified, Alberta can consider hydrogen trains or battery-electric trains. Hydrogen train

technology is well-suited for Alberta's longer distances between fueling points, and the province's existing energy sector expertise could be repurposed to set up hydrogen production (ideally green hydrogen via electrolysis using renewable power). Additionally, regenerative braking (covered in the next section) can be combined with on-site storage, meaning energy recovered when a train slows down could charge a wayside battery and later help power the next train or station, further increasing the use of clean energy. By weaving renewable energy into the fabric of the rail system, Alberta would not only cut emissions but also reduce vulnerability to fuel price fluctuations in the long run – insulating the rail operations from carbon taxes or diesel price spikes. This approach echoes what Indian Railways and others have found: investing in renewables pays off over time, as sunlight and wind dramatically reduce electricity bills^[13], all while making transportation greener.

Regenerative Braking and Energy Recovery

When a train brakes to a stop, its kinetic energy is usually dissipated as heat. Regenerative braking technology captures that energy and converts it into electricity that can be reused, improving energy efficiency. This concept has become standard in modern electric trains and even hybrid diesel trains, but the extent of energy reuse depends on infrastructure. In urban metros like London, regenerative braking is now widely employed: whenever a Tube train on the Victoria Line or Jubilee Line slows, its motors work as generators and feed power back into the electric grid for other trains or station systems. A notable trial in London showed the potential scale of savings – over one week, energy recovery systems captured *1 MWh per day* from braking trains, enough to power 104 average homes^[15]. In fact, London Underground found that capturing and reusing braking energy could save ~5% on its energy bills, roughly £6 million annually, once rolled out system-wide^[15]. Beyond the cost savings, this also reduces heat in the tunnels (since less braking energy turns into heat), which in turn lowers the load on ventilation and cooling systems^[15]. Similarly, Germany's Deutsche Bahn has integrated regenerative braking across its electric fleet – their modern EMUs (electric multiple units) and locomotives feed energy back during every deceleration, recouping an estimated 15–30% of the energy used for acceleration^[15]. On some DB suburban lines, the surplus regenerated power even flows into the public grid, effectively selling electricity back to the utility when trains descend long grades^[15].

For rail systems without continuous electrification, there are other ways to harvest braking energy. One approach tested in the UK and US is installing wayside energy storage (like large batteries or supercapacitors) at stations or key braking points. When a diesel or hybrid train brakes, it can dump energy into the wayside storage unit via induction or a brief connection; that energy is then used to help the next train leaving the station to accelerate. Pilot projects with supercapacitor banks in Japan's subway showed about 8% energy savings

using this method. Likewise, modern light rail vehicles often have onboard supercapacitors to store braking energy and reuse it for the next acceleration, reducing fuel or electricity needs.

Implications for Alberta: Embracing regenerative braking technology would be a straightforward win for an Alberta passenger rail system. If Alberta's trains are electric, the rolling stock purchased should have regenerative capability by default (most new electric trains do). The province would then work with the electrical grid operator to allow recovered energy to be fed back into the grid or at least to other trains on the line. Because Alberta's electrical grid is often under high demand in winter, any extra energy returned by trains could be readily used by nearby towns or other trains climbing grades. For partially electrified or non-electrified segments, Alberta could deploy wayside batteries at major stations like Red Deer. As an example, a battery at the Calgary airport terminus could store energy from an arriving train and then assist in powering that train's departure or a local facility's lighting. Over time, these savings accumulate: regenerative systems cut operating costs and improve sustainability with relatively short payback periods^[16]. Moreover, regenerative braking aligns with Alberta's environmental goals by reducing overall energy consumption. It pairs well with renewable integration – regenerative energy captured during the day could charge station batteries which then feed the grid during the evening peak. Finally, from a maintenance perspective, regenerative braking reduces wear on physical brakes (pads and discs), which means lower maintenance costs and improved safety (less overheating of brakes on long downhill stretches). All these factors make regenerative braking an essential feature for Alberta's rail modernization, as evidenced by its success in London, Germany, and many other advanced rail networks.

Freight Optimization in Dual-Use Rail

Building completely separate tracks for freight and passenger trains can be prohibitively expensive, especially in regions with moderate traffic. Dual-use rail corridors, where freight and passenger services share the same tracks, are common in North America and parts of Europe. Optimizing this shared use is crucial to ensure efficiency and avoid conflicts. European experience (particularly in Germany and Switzerland) demonstrates that with smart scheduling and signalling, mixed traffic can coexist with minimal delays^[17]. Key strategies include timing freight movements during gaps in the passenger timetable (often at night or midday) and providing passing loops or double-tracked sections where faster passenger trains can overtake slow freight trains. For instance, Switzerland's busy mixed lines use integrated timetables ("taktfahrplan") that explicitly schedule freight slots in between passenger trains – freight trains often run right after the passenger rush hours and have dedicated paths that are protected by dispatchers. This prevents freight from being sidelined entirely while still prioritizing passenger punctuality during peak periods.

Research compiled in the Transportation Research Board's report on European joint-use (TCRP Report 52) found that coordination and communication are fundamental: where dispatching is unified, and passenger and freight operators collaborate (sharing forecasts of train weights, speeds, etc.), the joint operations run smoothly^[17]. In contrast, if freight schedules are ad-hoc or dispatchers lack incentive to favor passenger trains, delays ensue. Some European routes even employ advanced traffic management software that can automatically reschedule trains in real time to resolve conflicts – for example, if a freight train is running late, the system might hold a slower all-stop passenger train briefly at a station to let the freight pass, ensuring the express passenger train behind stays on schedule.

In Alberta's case, dual-use optimization will likely be needed, since entirely new tracks for passenger service may not be feasible on all segments. Many Alberta rail corridors are currently used by freight (CP and CN lines). Rather than building parallel tracks, Alberta can strike partnerships with freight railways to allow shared use, as was done for West Coast Express in British Columbia or Amtrak services in the United States. To make this work, Alberta's passenger trains might run primarily at times that do not interfere with heavy freight traffic (e.g., passenger trains in morning and early evening, leaving mid-day and late-night for freight). The government could invest in a few strategically placed double-track segments or sidings on single-track lines, specifically to give passenger trains places to pass. Modern signaling (installing Positive Train Control or European Train Control System level signals) can greatly enhance safety in these shared scenarios and allow trains to run closer together with confidence. Additionally, clear policy agreements – possibly even legislated – should give passenger trains a degree of priority to ensure reliability (as passengers expect timely service). By coordinating schedules and upgrading infrastructure at bottlenecks, Alberta can avoid the need to build completely separate rail lines. This saves capital costs and also maximizes the use of existing assets, resonating with the “upgrade first” philosophy. Importantly, freight optimization in a shared corridor will also help rural and industrial areas of Alberta, since improving tracks and signals for passenger service inherently benefits freight efficiency too (freight trains will encounter better-maintained tracks and potentially higher speed limits). Thus, a well-managed dual-use railway in Alberta could be a win-win: faster, more frequent passenger service coexisting with robust freight operations that continue to support the economy.

Passenger Satisfaction Metrics

To ensure that a rail service is meeting the needs of its users, passenger satisfaction metrics are indispensable. Agencies around the world regularly survey riders to gauge satisfaction on multiple dimensions such as timeliness, comfort, cleanliness, safety, and information. The UK's National Rail Passenger Survey (NRPS), for example, covers these aspects and provides a benchmark for operators. Consistently, punctuality of trains emerges as the top driver of overall satisfaction – passengers need the train to be on time and reliable above all. In the latest NRPS results before the pandemic, overall satisfaction with UK rail journeys was about 82%, but only ~74% of passengers were satisfied with punctuality and reliability, indicating room for improvement on timeliness^[26]. Crowding is another critical factor: in European and North American surveys, overcrowding at peak times is a common complaint that drags down satisfaction scores. Riders value the ability to get a seat or at least stand in reasonable comfort; when trains are jam-packed, satisfaction plummets even if the train is on schedule. Comfort factors such as cleanliness of coaches, working air conditioning/heating, and low noise levels also contribute strongly to how passengers rate their experience.

Key Metrics to Track: Based on international best practices, a set of core satisfaction indicators for Alberta's rail could include:

- **On-time Performance** – the percentage of trains arriving within say 5 minutes of schedule. Leading railways target 90% or higher on-time performance for regional services^[27].
- **Seat Availability/Comfort** – measured by passenger surveys asking if they had a seat or if the train felt too crowded.
- **Cleanliness and Facility Quality** – satisfaction with cleanliness of trains and stations, and functionality of amenities (elevators, restrooms).

- **Customer Service and Information** – satisfaction with announcements, signage, and staff helpfulness, especially during disruptions.
- **Personal Safety and Security** – riders' sense of security on trains and in stations.

The EU's Eurobarometer on rail satisfaction found 59% of Europeans were satisfied with punctuality and 66% with frequency of trains, but only 38% satisfied with how rail companies handled complaints^[28]. This highlights the importance of not just running trains on time, but also listening to customer feedback and resolving issues effectively.

For Alberta, adopting a similar metric framework and conducting regular surveys will be vital. By tracking these metrics, Alberta's operators can identify where improvements are needed (for instance, if surveys show low satisfaction with station cleanliness in a particular city, resources can be directed there). It's also important for transparency and accountability: publishing the satisfaction scores and other performance metrics builds public trust.

Integrated Travel can use an open dashboard or annual report where they report on on-time performance, ridership growth, and satisfaction ratings, akin to how Transport Focus in the UK publishes the NRPS results and holds operators accountable^[29].

One specific metric that might align with Alberta's values is equity of service – ensuring that satisfaction levels are high across different demographic groups and regions. If rural riders or seniors report lower satisfaction, that would flag a need for targeted improvements (perhaps better accessibility or adjusted timetables). In summary, by regularly measuring and acting on passenger satisfaction metrics, Alberta's rail system can maintain a user-centered approach, continuously tweaking service to meet the community's expectations and thereby sustaining ridership growth over the long term^[18].

Station Layouts for Improved Passenger Flow

Station design has a profound impact on the overall efficiency and user experience of rail travel. Poorly designed stations can cause bottlenecks, delays, and even safety hazards as passengers navigate through crowds or confusing layouts. Conversely, well-planned station layouts promote smooth passenger flow, reduce stress, and shorten connection times.

Research and practical experience point to several best practices for station design improvements^[20]:

- **Widening Circulation Areas:** Crowded narrow corridors and stairwells can severely hamper movement. Simply widening hallways, adding extra staircase width, or using multiple escalators can increase throughput and alleviate congestion, especially during peak periods^[30]. For example, after a major renovation of Shinjuku Station in Tokyo that widened passageways, the station handled 20% more passengers with reduced crowding, and emergency evacuation times in simulations improved markedly. Likewise, new major stations often have open-concept concourses to disperse crowds.
- **Clear Signage and Wayfinding:** Passengers move faster and more calmly when they can easily find their way. Effective signage includes large, high-contrast signs indicating platforms, exits, and connections. Many European stations use iconography and color-coding (e.g., Metro lines marked by color) to guide even those who don't speak the local language. Good wayfinding reduces the clumping of confused passengers looking at maps. In hubs like London King's Cross, installing intuitive wayfinding signage and floor markings lowered the incidence of passengers accidentally going to wrong platforms, thereby preventing last-minute dashes and crowding.
- **Step-Free Access:** Stations designed with elevators, ramps, and level boarding not only assist wheelchair users but also help parents with strollers and travelers with

luggage^[21]. Step-free routes allow a portion of passengers to avoid stairs, distributing passenger flow more evenly across different pathways. The UK's accessible station guidelines mandate step-free entries in new stations and whenever possible in retrofits^[21], precisely because it eases movement for all. Additionally, having both escalators and stairs gives options – some people take stairs, reducing load on escalators.

- **Efficient Platform Design:** Platforms should be wide enough to accommodate waiting passengers without impeding those who are boarding or alighting. Presence of platform markings (like queues or sections for boarding) can organize crowds. Moreover, features like platform screen doors (in metros or high-speed stations) enhance safety and can guide waiting passengers to spread out evenly. In Singapore, platform doors and organized waiting lines have reduced dwell times by making boarding more orderly^[8].
- **Amenities and Information Distribution:** To prevent clustering, stations should strategically place amenities (ticket machines, information kiosks, departure boards) so that people don't all crowd one area. Decentralizing these – for instance, multiple ticket machines spread along a concourse instead of one spot – prevents large queues that block walking paths. Real-time information screens near entrances can also disperse passengers (they see their platform on entry and head directly rather than milling around the main hall).

For Alberta's new or upgraded stations, incorporating these design principles will be crucial. Even if initial ridership is modest, it's wise to build stations with future growth in mind and avoid chokepoints from the outset. Simple design choices can make a big difference: for instance, ensuring that new stations in Alberta's network follow the latest Canadian accessibility codes will automatically entail ramps, wide turnstiles, and tactile guidance,

which improve flow for all users. In termini like downtown Edmonton or Calgary, a spacious design with multiple exits will distribute foot traffic into the city, avoiding overload on any single pedestrian crossing. Stations serving tourist attractions (say, in Banff if a rail link is established) might need extra platform width and waiting areas to handle surges of tour groups. Additionally, considering “design for evacuation” is part of best practices – wider corridors and clear signage not only aid daily movement but are critical in emergencies. Integrated Travel should look at successful models such as Rotterdam Centraal (completely rebuilt with open concourses and logical navigation) or smaller examples like Whitehorse’s bus hub (which, though bus-based, applied human-centric design to ease transfers). By implementing thoughtful station layouts that prioritize improved passenger flow, Alberta will ensure that using the rail system is convenient from the first step into the station to the last step out. This supports higher satisfaction and upholds the promise of all-inclusive mobility by making stations friendly and efficient for everyone, from a rushed office worker to a family traveling with children^[21].

High-Speed Rail Design for Comfort

Even if Alberta is not immediately building true high-speed rail (HSR), the design philosophies from high-speed systems worldwide can be applied to improve rider comfort and experience on moderate-speed intercity trains. Successful high-speed services like Japan's Shinkansen and France's TGV have long recognized that customer comfort drives ridership just as much as travel time does. Riders on HSR often face journeys of 1–3 hours, similar to what an Edmonton–Calgary trip might be, so their priorities (a smooth, quiet ride with good amenities) are comparable.

One major factor is noise and vibration reduction. Shinkansen trains are engineered with sound-damping materials, airtight connections between cars, and precise aerodynamics to minimize noise even at 300 km/h. The result is a quiet cabin where passengers can converse or work. This attention to noise has payoffs at lower speeds too – the same design principles can make a 160 km/h train in Alberta whisper-quiet, a stark contrast to the rumble of older rolling stock. French TGVs similarly focus on a smooth ride: they perfected bogie (wheel assembly) designs and active suspension that results in very low vibration. Passengers consistently rate the smoothness of ride highly on these trains, which correlates with willingness to choose rail over driving^[31].

Ergonomic seating and interior design is another lesson. The latest TGV Océane trains in France introduced reclining seats with lumbar support, personal reading lights, power outlets, and even rotating seats in first class that can be aligned to face the direction of travel^[23].

Second-class passengers also enjoy wider tray tables and power/USB ports at every seat. These amenities have become expected in high-speed services and can easily be provided in regional trains. Many intercity trains now offer Wi-Fi connectivity as well – in fact, Eurostar and TGV InOui have free Wi-Fi and even an entertainment portal on board. Such features increase passenger satisfaction, especially for business travelers or younger passengers who

want to stay connected. Japan's Shinkansen have long had conveniences like onboard snack carts, vending machines, and impeccably clean restrooms; they recently even added family-friendly compartments in some services (with space for strollers and private areas for nursing mothers) in response to passenger feedback.

For Alberta, incorporating HSR-level comfort in train procurements and service design can be a significant draw. This means selecting rolling stock with proven quiet operation and stability (perhaps using trainsets from manufacturers who supply to HSR projects, albeit with lower top speeds). Interiors should be designed for the comfort of a diverse ridership: ample legroom, adjustable seats, and the now-essential power and Wi-Fi for each passenger. Alberta can also consider small but impactful touches seen on HSR: for instance, "quiet cars" where loud talking and phone calls are discouraged (as offered on some Amtrak and European trains) could appeal to business travelers and seniors seeking a peaceful ride. Likewise, a focus on cleanliness and aesthetics – Shinkansen are famous for their cleanliness and well-kept appearance – will give Alberta's service a premium feel. Even if the trains average only, say, 120–150 km/h between stops, the journey can feel "first class" to the user.

In short, the comfort innovations from HSR can and should trickle down to all modern rail services. Alberta's network can differentiate itself from bus travel or driving by providing a level of comfort that makes the journey enjoyable. A smooth, quiet ride allows passengers to read, work on laptops, or nap – turning travel time into productive or relaxing time. As evidenced by Japan and France, this drives customer loyalty and high satisfaction, which in turn drives ridership. Therefore, investing in top-notch ride quality and passenger amenities is not an extravagance but a strategy to ensure the long-term success and popularity of Alberta's "Rail for All" system.

Draft Metrics for Project Success

To gauge the performance and impact of the new rail system, it is important to establish clear Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) aligned with the project's goals (equity, sustainability, reliability, etc.). Drawing on benchmarks from leading rail operators, the following metrics and targets are proposed:

- **On-Time Performance:** The percentage of trains arriving within the scheduled tolerance (e.g. 5 minutes). A common industry target is around *90% on-time* or better^[27]. Achieving this would put Alberta's service on par with top regional railways. High on-time performance is crucial for attracting regular commuters and building trust in the service.
- **Ridership and Coverage:** Total passenger trips and the connectivity provided. This could include targets for annual ridership growth (for example, a 10% increase per year in the first five years) and ensuring service to a certain number of communities or population served. These metrics tie into the equity goal – e.g., *ridership from rural or underserved areas* could be tracked to ensure “Rail for All” is reaching those populations.
- **Passenger Satisfaction Rate:** Measured via surveys, the overall satisfaction percentage. Top systems aim for *85%+ satisfaction* in customer surveys. This encompasses various elements (cleanliness, comfort, staff courtesy, etc.), but having an aggregate score provides a summary of how riders feel about the service. Alberta could set a goal to reach (and maintain) a high satisfaction level as service ramps up.
- **Safety Incidents:** Keeping track of safety, such as the number of accidents or significant incidents per million passenger-kilometers. The goal should be zero serious injuries. A low incident rate will indicate that maintenance and operations are effectively ensuring passenger safety.

- **Carbon Emissions Reduction:** Environmental performance of the rail system. For instance, a target could be a 20% reduction in CO2 emissions per passenger-km each year for the first few years as the system transitions to renewable energy. Ultimately, if the system is electrified or hydrogen-powered with green energy, the long-term goal might be near-zero emissions by a certain date. Indian Railways, for example, has set a goal to become a net-zero carbon emitter by 2030, which requires aggressive annual progress.
- **Financial Metrics:** Such as operating cost recovery (percentage of operating costs covered by fare revenue and other income). A target might be to cover, say, 80% of operating costs from revenues by Year 5, indicating the system is moving toward fiscal sustainability. This can be coupled with efficiency metrics like cost per passenger-km, which should decline as ridership grows.

Setting these targets is only the first step – transparent reporting is the next. Agencies like Transport for London and Deutsche Bahn regularly publish performance scorecards, and Alberta’s rail authority should do the same. By publicly sharing how the system is doing on punctuality, ridership, satisfaction, and sustainability, the project can build stakeholder confidence and maintain momentum. It also allows the public and government to hold the operators accountable. If on-time performance dips below 80%, for instance, riders and oversight bodies can demand a plan for improvement (additional maintenance, revised timetable, etc.). Conversely, meeting or exceeding targets can justify further investment and expansion.

In summary, adopting a balanced scorecard of technical, customer, and environmental metrics will keep Alberta’s rail project aligned with its vision. As the saying goes, “what gets measured gets managed.” By measuring the right things – not just operational efficiency but also equity and environmental impact – Integrated Travel and Alberta’s decision-makers can

ensure the rail system remains on track to deliver broad benefits. This rigorous approach to KPIs reflects a commitment to accountability and continuous improvement, turning the lofty ideals of “Rail for All” into quantifiable outcomes that can be monitored and realized over time.

Discussion

The collective evidence points to practical ways Alberta can modernize its existing rail lines and focus on equitable service. Measures like winterized electrification, predictive maintenance, and dynamic pricing could keep the system financially viable while catering to diverse needs. By centering on “Rail for All,” Integrated Travel’s mission resonates through each improvement—avoiding expensive high-speed projects that might not align with everyday travelers.

Recommendations

1. **Passenger-Freight Synergy:** Designate off-peak windows and adapted timetables to handle parcels and freight on shared passenger routes.
2. **Cold-Climate Electrification:** Install heated switches, insulated overhead lines, and thorough snow removal protocols for year-round reliability.
3. **Predictive Analytics:** Integrate sensors and data modeling to detect mechanical or track issues in real time, minimizing unplanned downtime.
4. **Universal Access:** Incorporate ramps, tactile paths, and real-time station info to make the rail system inclusive for people of all abilities.
5. **Dynamic Pricing:** Adjust ticket prices according to demand, offering off-peak deals that help manage crowds.
6. **Transparent KPIs:** Track punctuality, passenger satisfaction, carbon reduction, and other indicators, publishing results for community review.

Conclusion

By focusing on cost-effective enhancements—ranging from minor station upgrades to fully integrated maintenance analytics—Alberta can deliver a modern and equitable rail system. Drawing on global successes like Dutch parcel integration, hydrogen-driven trains in Germany, or the solar investments of Indian Railways, local decision-makers have ample evidence that an “upgrade first” strategy works best. Implemented correctly, these lessons will ensure that Integrated Travel’s vision of “Rail for All” truly benefits every Albertan, from major cities to remote rural towns.

References

- ¹Eindhoven University of Technology. (n.d.). Improving service quality by integrating passenger and freight train planning.
<https://pure.tue.nl/ws/portalfiles/portal/307257010/IntegratingPassengerFreight.pdf>
- ²U.S. Department of Transportation. (2017). Passenger rail and freight rail partnerships: Case studies in Boston and Vancouver.
https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/39852/dot_39852_DS1.pdf
- ³Wikipedia. (n.d.). Bergen Line. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Bergen_Line
- ⁴Canadian Rail Research Laboratory. (n.d.). Official CaRRL website.
<https://sites.google.com/uAlberta.ca/carrl>
- ⁵RSSB. (n.d.). The future of train maintenance. <https://www.rssb.co.uk/research/flagship-research-activities/the-future-of-train-maintenance>
- ⁶*Rail Analysis India – Gateway to the rail and metro sector in India.* (n.d.).
<https://news.railanalysis.com/>
- ⁷Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport, and Tourism (Japan). (n.d.). Tokyo Metro accessibility initiatives. <https://www.mlit.go.jp>
- ⁸SMRT Corporation. (n.d.). Accessibility: SMRT official site. <https://www.smrt.com.sg>
- ⁹Government of the Netherlands. (n.d.). Circular Dutch economy by 2050.
<https://www.government.nl/topics/circular-economy/circular-dutch-economy-by-2050>
- ¹⁰International Organization for Standardization. (n.d.). ISO 14001—Environmental management standard. <https://www.iso.org/iso-14001-environmental-management.html>
- ¹¹Wiremind. (n.d.). Eurostar chooses CAYZN for AI-powered revenue management.
<https://wiremind.io/blog/eurostar-chooses-cayzn-for-ai-powered-revenue-management/>

- ¹²Fukuoka Now. (n.d.). Dynamic pricing introduced on Kyushu Shinkansen between Hakata and Kumamoto. <https://www.fukuoka-now.com/en/news/dynamic-pricing-introduced-on-kyushu-shinkansen-between-hakata-and-kumamoto/>
- ¹³Indian Railways. (n.d.). Solar energy projects. <https://www.indianrailways.gov.in>
- ¹⁴Alstom. (n.d.). Coradia iLint—The world’s first hydrogen-powered passenger train. <https://www.alstom.com/solutions/rolling-stock/alstom-coradia-ilint-worlds-1st-hydrogen-powered-passenger-train>
- ¹⁵Transport for London. (2015, September). Recycling energy from tube trains to power stations. <https://tfl.gov.uk/info-for/media/press-releases/2015/september/recycling-energy-from-tube-trains-to-power-stations>
- ¹⁶Deutsche Bahn. (n.d.). Brake energy recovery at DB. <https://nachhaltigkeit.deutschebahn.com/en/measures/Brake-energy-recovery>
- ¹⁷Transportation Research Board. (n.d.). TCRP report 52: European joint use experience. https://onlinepubs.trb.org/onlinepubs/tcrp/tcrp_rpt_52-f.pdf
- ¹⁸Transport Focus. (n.d.). National Rail Passenger Survey (NRPS). <https://www.transportfocus.org.uk/insight/national-rail-passenger-survey/>
- ¹⁹European Commission. (2018). Europeans’ satisfaction with passenger rail: Eurobarometer. <https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/324ded1f-bd49-11e8-99ee-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>
- ²⁰Rail Knowledge Bank. (n.d.). Facility planning & layout: Sample resource. <https://railknowledgebank.com/Presto/content/GetDoc.axd?attchmnt=True&ctID=MTk4MTRjNDUtNWQ0My00OTBmLTIIYWUtZWVjM2U2OTE0ZDY3&pID=Nzkx&rCFU=&rID=MjM5OQ%3D%3D&rIdx=MTk1MQ%3D%3D&uSesDM=False>

²¹Department for Transport (UK). (n.d.). Design standards for accessible railway stations.

<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/accessible-railway-stations-design-standards>

²²Tatiana Meshkova. (n.d.). Shinkansen travel experience satisfaction analysis.

https://tatianameshkova.github.io/Shinkansen_Travel_Experience_Satisfaction_Analysis

²³Global Railway Review. (n.d.). TGV Océane (France): Interior designs revealed.

<https://www.globalrailwayreview.com/news/29822/tgv-oceane-interior/>

²⁴FinModelsLab. (n.d.). Railway infrastructure development KPI metrics.

<https://finmodelslab.com/blogs/kpi-metrics/railway-infrastructure-development-kpi-metrics>

²⁵Bigordà, T. (2024, October 27). How trains in the Netherlands run on 100% wind power.

Renovables Verdes. <https://en.renovablesverdes.com/Holland-trains-run-at-100-wind-energy/>

²⁶*National Rail Passenger Survey – NRPS – autumn 2019 – main report - Transport Focus*.

(2020, January 27). Transport Focus.

<https://www.transportfocus.org.uk/publication/national-rail-passenger-survey-nrps-autumn-2019-main-report/>

²⁷Wikipedia contributors. (2023, July 16). *Public performance measure*. Wikipedia.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Public_performance_measure

²⁸Publications Office of the European Union. (2018). *Europeans' satisfaction with passenger rail services*. Publications Office of the EU.

<https://op.europa.eu/en/publication-detail/-/publication/324ded1f-bd49-11e8-99ee-01aa75ed71a1/language-en>

²⁹*National Rail Passenger Survey - Transport Focus*. (2020, November 27). Transport Focus.

<https://www.transportfocus.org.uk/insight/national-rail-passenger-survey/>

³⁰Loukaitou-Sideris, A., Taylor, B. D., & Carole Turley Voulgaris. (2015). Passenger flows in underground railway stations and platforms. In Mineta Transportation Institute & College of Business, San José State University, *Mineta Transportation Institute* (Technical Report No. 12–43). Mineta Transportation Institute.

<https://www.its.ucla.edu/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2015/11/passenger-flows-in-underground-railways-stations-platform.pdf>

³¹Global Railway Review. (2018, January 9). *Improvement of Alstom's high-speed bogies - Global Railway Review*.

<https://www.globalrailwayreview.com/article/1622/improvement-of-alstoms-high-speed-bogies/>